```
Page 1
 1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2.
                    WESTERN DIVISION
 3
                                   ) Case No. 1:16-cv-539
     PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF
 4
     GREATER OHIO, et al.,
                                            Judge
               Plaintiffs,
                                   ) Michael R. Barrett
 6
                  vs.
 7
     RICHARD HODGES, et al.,
 8
               Defendants.
 9
10
11
           Deposition of STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.,
12
     taken on behalf of the Defendants, pursuant to the
13
     stipulations agreed to herein, before Sarah A.
14
     Bowers, Notary Public, at K&L Gates, LLP, 4350
     Lassiter at North Hills Avenue, Suite 300, Raleigh,
15
     North Carolina, on the 13th of July, 2016,
16
17
     commencing at 9:29 a.m.
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
      Reported By: Sarah A. Bowers
24
      Job No: 110133
```

Page 2 1 2 3 4	Page 3 STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. CONTENTS
2 3	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Examination By Whom Page Number Direct Ms. Richardson 4 Cross Ms. Parker 41 INDEX OF EXHIBITS Number Description Page Marked Exhibit 1 Expert Report 4
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 ut for rdson, 15 16 18 19 en 20 take 21 the 22 23	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. your answers. So it's very important that we answer and speak audibly and without reference to any visual gestures or face nodding. It's also important that we not speak at the same time. And that's going to be particularly important today because we're on video, and so there may be a little bit of a delay. So I will be careful to make sure to wait until you have answered the question before I ask my next question, and if you can also be careful to wait until I finish my question to give me an answer. If at any point in time you do not understand a question that I have asked, just let me know and I'll be happy to rephrase. If you do answer the question I have asked, I will assume that you understood it. Is that fair? A That's fine. Q I don't expect that we will be very long today, but if you need a break at any point in time, just let me know. All that I would ask is that you wait until you have answered the
3 L , a	Page 4 Page 4

		Page 6			Page 7
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		break.	2	Q	And so I'll direct your attention then to the
3	Α	Fine.	3	V	CV in front of you. And I would just ask, is
4	Q	Are you under thank you.	4		this a current copy of your CV?
5		Are you taking any medications or is there	5	A	This is a current copy, yes.
6		any other reason today which you wouldn't be	6	Q	And it looks like, up in the corner, there is
7		able to answer my questions completely and	7	×	a what I assume is a date. It says, "1/16."
8		truthfully?	8	A	Yes. That's when it was last updated.
9	A	No, there isn't.	9	Q	So it was updated in January of this year?
10	Q	Any other questions before we get started?	10	Ā	Yes.
11	Ā	No.	11	Q	And since January of this year, have there
12	Q	So I'd like to begin just by talking a little	12	×	been any updates or changes to anything that's
13	~	bit about your background. And I know it's	13		included in your CV?
14		extensive so we won't go through it in detail	14	A	No.
15		today. I will ask you to refer	15	Q	And so, I'd like to ask you then about your
16		I understand that you have in front of you	16	V	current position. It says that from 2000 to
17		what's already been marked as Henshaw Exhibit	17		currently, you have been a "consultant for
18		1, is that correct?	18		various nonprofit organizations on research
19	Α	That is correct.	19		concerning fertility, control services and
20	Q	And is that a copy of your expert report that	20		behavior." Did I read that correctly?
21	~	was submitted in this case?	21	A	Yes.
22	A	Yes, it is.	22	Q	And can you just describe for me a little bit
23	Q	And attached to that expert report, is there a	23	V	of what that means. What is your current
24	Q	copy of your CV?	24		professional focus?
25	A	Yes, there is.	25	A	Currently, my professional work is reviewing
	71	1 05, there is:		7.1	currently, my professional work is reviewing
		Page 8			Page 9
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.			CTANELY I HENGHAM DED
2		STANLET K. HENSHAW, TH.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
		research proposals for nonprofit	2	Q	And so, do you help them in the process of
3		research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family	2	Q	·
3 4		research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the	2 3 4	Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit?
3 4 5		research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco.	2 3 4 5	Q A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot
3 4 5 6	Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit	2 3 4 5 6		And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be
3 4 5	Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in	2 3 4 5		And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal,
3 4 5 6	Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role?	2 3 4 5 6		And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the
3 4 5 6 7 8	A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not approve the proposal which is then funded by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding organizations?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not approve the proposal which is then funded by the organizations if it's approved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding organizations? As I said, those two organizations that I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not approve the proposal which is then funded by the organizations if it's approved. So would this be similar to like essentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding organizations? As I said, those two organizations that I review for, the unit of University of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not approve the proposal which is then funded by the organizations if it's approved. So would this be similar to like essentially grant proposals to fund research, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding organizations? As I said, those two organizations that I review for, the unit of University of California, San Francisco and the Society of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not approve the proposal which is then funded by the organizations if it's approved. So would this be similar to like essentially grant proposals to fund research, is that accurate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding organizations? As I said, those two organizations that I review for, the unit of University of California, San Francisco and the Society of Family Planning.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	research proposals for nonprofit organizations. One is the Society of Family Planning. The other is a unit of the University of California in San Francisco. And are there any other nonprofit organizations that you work with routinely in that role? No. What types of research proposals do you review? This is research about contraception and abortion services, primarily. And what specifically is your role with respect to the research proposals that they submit? These two organizations both send the proposals to outside reviewers who then suggest improvements and approve or do not approve the proposal which is then funded by the organizations if it's approved. So would this be similar to like essentially grant proposals to fund research, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q Q	And so, do you help them in the process of creating the research proposals that then they submit? Not creating. The reviewers often have a lot of ideas about how the proposal could be improved. Or if it's not a good proposal, suggestions for other kinds of research the applicant could do, in the case of one of the organizations. And are you one of the reviewers then that will ultimately determine whether they get funding, or do you assist the organizations in preparing or responding to edits from the reviewers? Well, the reviewers make recommendations and the organizations make the final decision about what to fund. And which organizations are the funding organizations? As I said, those two organizations that I review for, the unit of University of California, San Francisco and the Society of

		Page 10			Page 11
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		understand now. But the two organizations	2		that.
3		that you consult with are the organizations	3	Q	So would it have been January, February that
4		that provide funding, they are reviewing	4	Q	you were retained or would it have been later
5			5		than that?
6		research proposals that have been submitted to them for funding and you assist the reviewers;	6	٨	
7		is that correct?	7	A	Well, as I say, I don't always remember these things, but as I recall, it was later than
8	A	That is correct.	8		that.
9	Q		9	0	So sometime within the last several months?
10	Ų	Thank you. Thank you for bearing with me there. I	10	Q A	Yes.
11		·	11		
12		appreciate it. And so, I would like to talk	12	Q	And who contacted you about becoming involved in this case?
13		about specifically the work that you have done in connection with this case.			
14			13	A	I think it was Planned Parenthood attorneys.
15		When were you retained as an expert in connection with this case?	14	Q	Who was it sorry. Go ahead.
16			15	A	I'm sorry. I don't remember which one it was.
	Α	I'm not good at remembering dates. It was	16	Q	Do you know whether these were attorneys for
17	0	earlier this year.	17		one of the two or both of the two plaintiffs
18	Q	And feel free to just give me a ballpark	18		in this case, which are Planned Parenthood of
19		estimate, a general month or even season.	19		Greater Ohio and Planned Parenthood of
20	A	Well, my first actual work on it was just	20		Southwest Ohio?
21		before the report was dated, and the date of	21	Α	No. The people who contacted me were
22		the report is June 21st. So it would have	22		attorneys for the Planned Parenthood
23		been in the month or two before June 21st when	23	_	Federation of America.
24		I actually did any work on it. And I don't	24	Q	And if I refer to that today as PPFA, would we
25		think I knew about the case too long before	25		both understand we're talking about Planned
		Page 12			D 12
		1436 11			Page 13
1			1		
1 2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1 2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	A		2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state
2	A O	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes.			STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or
2 3 4	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you	2 3 4		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include
2	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case?	2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood
2 3 4 5		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct.	2 3 4 5		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its
2 3 4 5 6	Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in	2 3 4 5 6 7		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case?	2 3 4 5 6	0	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or studies unique to this litigation? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast to statutes that may have been involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or studies unique to this litigation? No. What did what did counsel for well, let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or studies unique to this litigation? No. What did what did counsel for well, let me ask this a different way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast to statutes that may have been involved in your previous cases where you have served as an expert?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or studies unique to this litigation? No. What did what did counsel for well, let me ask this a different way. At the time that you agreed to become	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast to statutes that may have been involved in your previous cases where you have served as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or studies unique to this litigation? No. What did what did counsel for well, let me ask this a different way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast to statutes that may have been involved in your previous cases where you have served as an expert? Well, it's a just from the face of it, as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Parenthood Federation of America? Yes. So counsel for PPFA contacted you to ask you to become involved as an expert in this case? That is correct. And what specifically were you asked to do in connection with this case? Well, I was asked to produce the expert report. I have done this before, so I knew what was expected. And you when you say you have done this before, what do you mean? I did similar work in cases in Alabama and Wisconsin. And did Planned Parenthood Federation of America ask you to do any specific analyses or studies unique to this litigation? No. What did what did counsel for well, let me ask this a different way. At the time that you agreed to become involved in this case, what did you understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. statute that would cut off Medicaid or state support to any organization that provides or promotes abortions. This would include Planned Parenthood, so that Planned Parenthood would then have the choice of giving up its abortion work or giving up any financial support from the State of Ohio. And did you at any point in time review the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I didn't. Sitting here today, have you at any point in time reviewed the statute that's being challenged in this case? No, I haven't reviewed the statute. So is it fair to say then that you don't have a sense sitting here today of how that particular statute might compare or contrast to statutes that may have been involved in your previous cases where you have served as an expert? Well, it's a just from the face of it, as I described it, it's different from the statutes

		Page 14			Dago 15
		Page 14			Page 15
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	A	This statute gives the plaintiff the option of	2	Q	Can you just help me understand a little bit
3		giving up their abortion services or giving up	3		about what reproductive epidemiology consists
4		state support. The other statutes had to do	4		of?
5		with requiring abortion providers to have	5	A	I think it's easiest if I describe some of the
6		hospital privileges in the local area where	6		work that I have done. I have done work on
7		the services were provided. And I think there	7		abortion rates and differences between
8		was some other provisions in those other	8		different areas in abortion rates, trends in
9		cases, the statutes in those other states.	9		abortion rates, teenage pregnancy, rates of
10	Q	And so, have you done any analysis of how	10		sexual activity among teenagers. Some of the
11		those differences in the statutes might impact	11		work has been comparing the U.S. to other
12		your opinions and analyses reflected in your	12		countries.
13		expert report?	13		I have done work, also, on factors that
14	A	No.	14		influence the provision of abortion services
15	Q	And so, I would like to refer you to your	15		and, also, on the kinds of women who have
16		expert report. And we're just going to spend	16		unintended pregnancies and opt to have
17		a little time going through some of the items	17		abortions.
18		in here. I would direct you first to the	18		I also did an important paper on rates of
19		Paragraph 1 on Page 1 of what's been marked as	19		unintended pregnancy describing the kinds of
20		Exhibit 1. And I would just ask you generally	20		characteristics that are associated with
21		if you could help me understand.	21		higher or lower rates of unintended pregnancy.
22		It states here that you are you consult	22	Q	And has any of your any of the work that
23		on matters related to reproductive	23		you have just described or any other work
24		epidemiology; is that correct?	24		focused specifically on the State of Ohio?
25	A	That is correct.	25	A	No.
		Page 16			Page 17
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	Q	And I believe you already testified you did	2	Q	So the attorneys provided you with a list of
3		not do any analyses or studies specific to	3		facilities that provide abortion services in
4		this litigation; correct?	4		the State of Ohio; is that correct?
5	A	That is correct. The only thing I did was	5	Α	Well, more the cities where the services were
6		check the distances from Cincinnati and	6		available. I don't think I know the names of
7		Columbus to other cities where abortion	7		the facilities, but I know that Columbus has,
8		services are available.	8		what, two facilities, one of which is Planned
9	Q	And so, I'm sorry. You checked the distances	9		Parenthood.
10	~	from abortion facilities to where? I	10	Q	And did you do any independent research to
		apologize. I didn't catch the end of your	11	~	determine the location of other facilities
11			1 11		determine me tocanon of omer facilities — I
11 12					
12	A	answer.	12		that provide abortion services in or near
12 13	A	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion	12 13	A	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio?
12 13 14		answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services.	12 13 14	A O	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't.
12 13 14 15	A Q	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between	12 13 14 15	A Q	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just
12 13 14 15 16		answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that	12 13 14		that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in
12 13 14 15 16 17		answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly?	12 13 14 15 16		that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive
12 13 14 15 16	Q	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to	12 13 14 15 16 17		that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to other cities with facilities.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of Ohio?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to other cities with facilities. And how did you determine which cities have	12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of Ohio? That is correct.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to other cities with facilities. And how did you determine which cities have facilities?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of Ohio? That is correct. And for the cities, I believe you mentioned
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to other cities with facilities. And how did you determine which cities have facilities? For the most part, I have known it from my	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of Ohio? That is correct. And for the cities, I believe you mentioned Columbus and Cincinnati. Were there other
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to other cities with facilities. And how did you determine which cities have facilities? For the most part, I have known it from my experience working with abortion services, but	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of Ohio? That is correct. And for the cities, I believe you mentioned Columbus and Cincinnati. Were there other cities that counsel included in the list that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q	answer. To other cities where there are also abortion services. So you said you checked the distances between abortion facilities and other cities, is that did I understand that correctly? The distances from Cincinnati and Columbus to other cities with facilities. And how did you determine which cities have facilities? For the most part, I have known it from my	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A	that provide abortion services in or near Ohio? No, I didn't. Is it your understanding that counsel just provided then a list of facilities in particular cities rather than a comprehensive list of abortion facilities in the State of Ohio? That is correct. And for the cities, I believe you mentioned Columbus and Cincinnati. Were there other

		Page 18			Page 19
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		Toledo, Cleveland, and Akron area that I know	2		affiliating with anyone else who does so."
3		of.	3		Am I correct in understanding that the
4	Q	And you don't know whether there might be	4		purpose of paragraph 2 is to explain your
5		facilities that were not included on the list	5		understanding of the law that's being
6		that counsel gave you in connection with this	6		challenged in this case?
7		case; correct?	7	Α	That is correct.
8	A		8	Q	And what do you base that understanding of the
9		but I can't confirm that based on my own	9		law on?
10		individual investigations.	10	A	That is what I was told by the attorneys.
11	Q	In other words, you have not independently	11	Q	And for the record, I believe you mentioned
12		verified the information that was provided to	12		earlier that those were the attorneys for PPFA
13		you by counsel about the number and location	13		who gave you that distribution of the law that
14		of facilities that provide abortions in those	14		is being challenged here; correct?
15		cities?	15	A	I thought their client was actually the
16	A	That is correct.	16		Planned Parenthoods in Ohio.
17	Q	And so, I would ask you to take a look at	17	Q	
18		paragraph 2, please, of your expert report.	18		talking about attorneys for PPFA who contacted
19	A	Yes.	19		you to ask you to be an expert in this case;
20	Q	And in the second sentence of that paragraph,	20		is that correct?
21		it says, "Specifically, the act provides that	21	A	
22		if any entity in Ohio is to continue receiving	22	Q	Then at some point in time, did you begin
23		government funds under specifically enumerated	23		working specifically for counsel for Planned
24		grant programs, it must cease promoting and	24		Parenthood of Greater Ohio and Planned
25		providing nontherapeutic abortions or	25		Parenthood of Southwest Ohio?
		Page 20			Page 21
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	A	That is correct. As I recall, I had little	2		in this case?
3		further contact with the attorneys for PPFA.	3	A	I'm not sure I understand the question.
4	Q	And did the attorneys for if we let me	4	Q	Sure.
5		step back for a movement to shorten things a	5	A	My
6		little bit today. If I refer to Planned	6	Q	So go ahead.
7		Parenthood of Greater Ohio as PPGOH, do we	7	À	My assignment was to discuss with them what
8		both understand we're referring to that	8		kind of statement I could make and work on
9		organization?	9		creating the expert report.
10	A	That would be fine.	10	Q	And was that the same assignment as you had
11	Q	And also, for Planned Parenthood of Southwest	11		understood it when you spoke originally to the
12		Ohio, can we refer to that as PPSWO for	12		PPFA attorneys?
13		purposes of today's deposition?	13	A	Yes.
14	A	I'm sorry. PP what?	14	Q	And did counsel for PPSWO or PPGOH provide any
15	Q	PPSWO.	15		other information about the law that's being
16	A	PP what?	16		challenged in this case apart from what is
17		MS. PARKER: SWO. PPSWO.	17		being described in paragraph 2?
18		THE WITNESS: Thank you. I had not heard	18	A	Not that I can think of, no.
		it pronounced that way before.	19	Q	And you haven't done any specific analysis of
19		MS. PARKER: That's what we have been	20		the impact of the law that's being challenged
20					have Section 2701 024, is that compact?
20 21		calling it, PPSWO.	21		here Section 3701.034; is that correct?
20 21 22		THE WITNESS: Okay.	22	A	Well, again, I don't think I understand the
20 21 22 23		THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. RICHARDSON:	22 23	A	Well, again, I don't think I understand the question. My report estimates what there
20 21 22 23 24	Q	THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. RICHARDSON: And so, did counsel for either PPGOH or PPSWO	22 23 24	A	Well, again, I don't think I understand the question. My report estimates what there would be an impact, what the impact would be
20 21 22 23	Q	THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. RICHARDSON:	22 23	A	Well, again, I don't think I understand the question. My report estimates what there

		Page 22			Page 23
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		distances. And I believe that applies to	2		read that correctly?
3		Ohio.	3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And so, to follow up on what you just said,	4	Q	What do you base that understanding on?
5	~	essentially is it fair to kind of summarize,	5	Ā	That information was provided by the
6		your report essentially estimates the impact	6		attorneys, so
7		if the two plaintiffs in this case were to	7	Q	Have you done any independent research with
8		stop providing abortion services; is that	8		respect to what's described here in paragraph
9		correct?	9		3?
10	A	That is correct.	10	Α	No.
11	Q	And you don't know whether the law that's	11	Q	And the last sentence in paragraph 3,
12	~	being challenged in this case would actually	12		"Accordingly, if the act goes into effect
13		cause either of these organizations to stop	13		PPGOH and PPSWO will be forced either to
14		providing abortion services, do you?	14		curtail many of the services that they
15	Α	I don't know what decision the organizations	15		previously provided under these programs, or
16		would make if this law goes into effect.	16		to stop providing nontherapeutic abortions
17	Q	And I'd like to ask you now about paragraph 3.	17		entirely at their health centers."
18		You state there, "I understand that plaintiffs	18		What do you base that statement on?
19		Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio and Planned	19	Α	Well, first, what the attorneys have told me,
20		Parenthood Southwest Ohio Region cannot	20		and second, what they have told me about the
21		continue to provide these non-abortion health	21		statute in question. From what they told me,
22		and education services without the government	22		that's a clear interpretation of the statute.
23		funds they have theretofore received, or in	23	Q	And again, you have not independently reviewed
24		some cases would be required to charge	24		or interpreted the statute that's being
25		patients for some of those services." Did I	25		challenged in this case; correct?
		1			,
		Page 24			Page 25
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	A	Correct.	2		abortions provided by PPGOH and PPSWO and
3	Q	And then, I'd like to ask you, sort of	3		other statements about abortion services in
4		generally, paragraphs 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8	4		Ohio, those came directly from plaintiffs's
5		describe your understanding of various	5		counsel; correct?
6		distances and the abortion clinics in Ohio and	6	A	That is correct.
7		surrounding states; is that correct?	7	Q	Was there anything else that you reviewed or
8	A	That is correct.	8		studied in connection with paragraphs I
9	Q	What do you base the understandings reflected	9		believe we said 4 through 8?
10		in those paragraphs on?	10	A	The only other thing I did was check the
11	A	Primarily on the attorneys's statement.	11		distance from Columbus to Pittsburgh to see if
12		I'm	12		that would be a closer facility, but it isn't,
13	Q	Anything I'm sorry. Go ahead.	13		so otherwise, I did not investigate any of
14	A	I'm from Ohio. I have looked at a map. I	14		those statements.
15		understand the distances. I know the	15	Q	So otherwise, the representations contained in
16		distances here. I have either checked them or	16		these paragraphs came directly from
17		I know that they are approximately correct.	17		plaintiffs's counsel; correct?
18		And there was nothing in these statements that	18	A	Correct.
		led me to question their accuracy.	19	Q	And then, I'd like to direct your attention
19	_	And when you refer to distances that you're	20		now to paragraph 10.
20	Q	•	۸-		T7
20 21	Q	familiar with, are you referring to the	21	A	Yes.
20 21 22	Q	familiar with, are you referring to the distances between respective cities within	22	A Q	And you refer at the beginning of that
20 21 22 23		familiar with, are you referring to the distances between respective cities within Ohio?	22 23		And you refer at the beginning of that paragraph to the "relevant demographic and
20 21 22	Q A Q	familiar with, are you referring to the distances between respective cities within	22		And you refer at the beginning of that

		Page 26			Page 27
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		literature states, is that a fair summary?	2		rates, so and I'm familiar with quite a few
3	Α	Yes.	3		studies that have looked at that relationship.
4	Q	What do you what do you include sorry.	4	Q	And to your knowledge, do any of those studies
5		What do you consider to be relevant	5		focus specifically on the State of Ohio?
6		demographic and epidemiological literature for	6	Α	No.
7		purposes of this report?	7	Q	And in the next several paragraphs, you
8	Α	The literature that tries to study the	8		describe some research related to legislation
9		association between abortion rates and the	9		in, I believe, Texas, Georgia, Washington.
10		availability of abortion services. And	10		Any other states that you focus on in these
11		specifically in this case, the effect of	11		next several paragraphs?
12		distance on abortion rates.	12	A	No, those are the states.
13	Q	Thank you. And does any of that literature	13	Q	And then, I'd like to direct your attention to
14		focus specifically on the State of Ohio to	14		paragraph 17, please.
15		your knowledge?	15	A	Yes.
16	A	No.	16	Q	And in paragraph 17, you state, "Considering
17	Q	Same question with respect to your statement a	17	_	the results of these studies, I estimate that
18		little bit later in the paragraph, at the top	18		in general an additional travel burden of 100
19		of Page 5. You begin with, "Based on my	19		miles will cause 20 to 25 percent of women who
20		review of the relevant research," what do you	20		would have otherwise obtained abortions not to
21		include as relevant research?	21		obtain them."
22	Α	Well, I have cited the most relevant studies	22		Did I read that correctly?
23		that I think are of high quality, but there	23	A	Yes.
24		are other similar studies that look at the	24	Q	And how did you arrive at that calculation or
25		relation of abortion availability to abortion	25		that estimate?
		Page 28			Page 29
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study	2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms,
2 3	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the	2 3		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that
2 3 4	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to	2 3 4		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural
2 3 4 5	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions	2 3 4 5		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that
2 3 4 5 6	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately	2 3 4 5 6		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers
2 3 4 5 6 7	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those	2 3 4 5 6 7		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	0	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service, the abortion rate declines by 20 to 29 percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent. And so, just so I understand essentially then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service, the abortion rate declines by 20 to 29 percent depending on the women's race and ethnicity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent. And so, just so I understand essentially then what you're doing is assuming that the numbers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service, the abortion rate declines by 20 to 29 percent depending on the women's race and ethnicity. In that case, say the distance went from 50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent. And so, just so I understand essentially then what you're doing is assuming that the numbers that applied in the studies in Texas, Georgia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service, the abortion rate declines by 20 to 29 percent depending on the women's race and ethnicity. In that case, say the distance went from 50 miles to 100 miles, then there would be,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent. And so, just so I understand essentially then what you're doing is assuming that the numbers that applied in the studies in Texas, Georgia and Washington would apply in the same way in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service, the abortion rate declines by 20 to 29 percent depending on the women's race and ethnicity. In that case, say the distance went from 50 miles to 100 miles, then there would be, according to that study, a decline of about 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent. And so, just so I understand essentially then what you're doing is assuming that the numbers that applied in the studies in Texas, Georgia and Washington would apply in the same way in the State of Ohio, and basing your calculation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. Based on the studies already cited, the study that had the most specific numbers was the study in Georgia, which found that about 24 to 25 percent of women did not have abortions when they had to travel an extra approximately 100 miles. The other studies confirmed those numbers. The study the first study in Texas cited found a 69 percent decline in the number of women who were able to access services when the distance increased to about 250 miles from about 25 or 30 miles, as I recall. Obviously, 100 miles would not have the same impact as 250 miles, so I wouldn't think the effect of 100 miles would be close to 69 percent. The other study in Texas found that if you doubled the distance from an abortion service, the abortion rate declines by 20 to 29 percent depending on the women's race and ethnicity. In that case, say the distance went from 50 miles to 100 miles, then there would be,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. And the study in Washington also confirms, with a slightly different number. And that study, that was a study that compared rural counties to urban counties, and found that over a ten-year period the number of providers in rural area declined while the number of providers in urban area stayed the same. And over that period, the abortion rate in the rural areas declined by 10 percent more than the abortion rate in the urban areas. The additional distance women had to travel or did travel from the rural areas was about 12 miles. So in that case, you could say 12 miles made a difference of 10 percent. I think putting these together, it's reasonable to estimate that 100 miles would have an effect of 20 to 25 percent. And so, just so I understand essentially then what you're doing is assuming that the numbers that applied in the studies in Texas, Georgia and Washington would apply in the same way in

		Page 30			Page 31
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		way.	2		Your estimate in paragraph 17 is based on
3	Q	And you haven't done any kind of study to	3		your review of studies conducted in three
4	Q	determine whether they would in fact apply in	4		states, Texas, Georgia and Washington;
5		approximately the same way in Ohio; correct?	5		correct?
6	Α	That is correct.	6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And you haven't done any type of analysis of	7	Q	And you have not done an analysis specifically
8	V	ways that the State of Ohio might differ in	8	Q	of whether any of those three states is
9		material respects from the states of Georgia,	9		representative of any other state or the
10		Washington and Texas; correct?	10		United States as a whole; correct?
11	A		11	A	I don't know what you mean by
12	71	pretty familiar with the state and with the	12	А	"representative." I haven't done any special
13		country. So then I know there are interstate	13		investigations for this purpose, for this
14		highways in every state. In my experience,	14		report. But of course, I think anyone knows
15		states don't differ a huge amount in terms of	15		quite a bit about the country and the
16		transportation or factors that would affect	16		similarities and differences between states.
17		whether distance had a big effect or not.	17	0	And so, certainly then based on common sense,
18	Q	And so, again, this calculation is based on	18	Q	a state like Texas might differ in many
19	Q	three states; correct?	19		respects from a state like New York; correct?
20	A	Correct.	20	A	It would be the same in many respects and
21	Q	Texas, Georgia, Washington? I'm sorry. Is	21	А	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22	Q	that correct?	22		different in many respects. That's a general statement.
23	Α	I think I know what you said. You broke up a	23	0	
24	А	little built.	24	Q	Right, exactly. So if we wanted to understand whether the specific calculations that were
25	Q	Oh, I'm sorry. I'm happy to rephrase.	25		-
23	Q	on, im sorry. Thi happy to replicase.	25		made as a result of the studies in Washington,
		Page 32			Page 33
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		Texas, and Georgia could be extrapolated and	2	Q	Have you done any type of study of the number
3		applied to other states, we would need to do	3	*	of areas in Ohio that would be classified as
4		some type of analysis of whether those states	4		urban?
5		were representative in relevant material	5	A	No.
6		respects, is that fair?	6	Q	Have you done a specific analysis of any of
7	Α	No, I don't agree with that.	7	*	the demographic information related to Ohio?
8	Q	Why not?	8	A	No.
9	Ā	States are similar enough. Washington and	9	Q	So you don't know how any of these factors
10		Texas and Georgia represent a pretty cross	10	*	would compare or contrast to Texas, Washington
11		good cross-section of states in the country.	11		or Georgia; is that fair?
12		The results are roughly similar in those three	12	A	No.
13		areas. There's nothing about Ohio that's	13	Q	Why not?
		different in any relevant respect from those	14	A	Because it's general knowledge the
⊥4			15	. 1	demographics of these various states are
14 15		other states that I know of	1 75		UCHIOSTADIIICS OF THESE VALIDIES STATES ATE
15	Ω	other states that I know of. But you haven't done that study: is that fair?			= =
15 16	Q	But you haven't done that study; is that fair?	16		basically similar in many respects.
15 16 17	Q	But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered.	16 17		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you
15 16 17 18		But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection.	16 17 18		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the
15 16 17 18 19	Q A	But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection. I don't know what study you need exactly.	16 17 18 19		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the studies, two or three of them, looked
15 16 17 18 19 20		But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection. I don't know what study you need exactly. It's not clear what study one would do.	16 17 18 19 20		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the studies, two or three of them, looked specifically at race and ethnicity, and found
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection. I don't know what study you need exactly. It's not clear what study one would do. BY MS. RICHARDSON:	16 17 18 19 20 21		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the studies, two or three of them, looked specifically at race and ethnicity, and found that the effects were characteristic of white
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection. I don't know what study you need exactly. It's not clear what study one would do. BY MS. RICHARDSON: Have you conducted any type of analysis of the	16 17 18 19 20 21 22		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the studies, two or three of them, looked specifically at race and ethnicity, and found that the effects were characteristic of white women, non-Hispanic white women, Hispanic
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A	But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection. I don't know what study you need exactly. It's not clear what study one would do. BY MS. RICHARDSON: Have you conducted any type of analysis of the number of areas in Ohio that would be	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the studies, two or three of them, looked specifically at race and ethnicity, and found that the effects were characteristic of white women, non-Hispanic white women, Hispanic women, and black women.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	But you haven't done that study; is that fair? MS. PARKER: Asked and answered. Objection. I don't know what study you need exactly. It's not clear what study one would do. BY MS. RICHARDSON: Have you conducted any type of analysis of the	16 17 18 19 20 21 22		basically similar in many respects. The studies I quoted actually, if you are concerned about race and ethnicity, the studies, two or three of them, looked specifically at race and ethnicity, and found that the effects were characteristic of white women, non-Hispanic white women, Hispanic

		Page 34			Page 35
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		conclusions because we know that the distance	2	Q	So you didn't do any independent research or
3		has an effect on all racial groups and	3	~	analysis to determine what percentage of women
4		ethnicity. And Hispanics are actually more	4		in any city in Ohio would be low income; is
5		affected than whites and blacks.	5		that fair?
6		So if Ohio has a higher or lower	6	Α	That's fair.
7		proportion of white women or black women or	7	Q	And you don't know how those numbers would
8		urban women, we know that from the other	8		specifically compare to the percentage of
9		studies there is effect in urban areas as well	9		women who would qualify as low income in
10		as rural areas. So it wouldn't matter to my	10		Georgia, Texas or Washington; is that fair?
11		conclusions if it turned out Ohio is more	11	Α	I haven't specifically investigated that.
12		urban or less urban than these other states.	12	Q	And so, apart from I think you described it
13		Then actually, it's probably not too different	13		as common knowledge what you would consider
14		from the other states in those respects.	14		to be common knowledge about Ohio as it
15	Q	And you have indicated even in this expert	15		compares to Washington, Georgia and Texas, you
16	•	report that income certainly has an impact;	16		have no other basis for making comparisons
17		correct?	17		between those states; correct?
18	Α	Yes.	18	Α	Well, I have my experience doing demographic
19	Q	Have you done any type of study of the	19		studies, so I probably know more about the
20		economic status or income of women in Ohio?	20		demographics of the various states than most
21	A	The attorneys informed me that the patients	21		people do, but I didn't do any specific
22		served in Cincinnati, the Planned Parenthood	22		investigation for this report.
23		in Cincinnati were predominantly of low	23	Q	And your previous demographics studies did not
24		income. That's all I know specifically about	24	V	focus specifically on Ohio; correct?
25		Ohio.	25	Α	That is correct.
				11	That is correct.
		Page 36			Page 37
1		Page 36 STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		Page 37 STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
1 2	Q		1 2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not
	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when	2		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports.
2	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph	2 3 4	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in
2 3 4 5	Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes.	2 3 4 5	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your
2 3 4		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North	2 3 4	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the
2 3 4 5	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute	2 3 4 5	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your
2 3 4 5 6	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously	2 3 4 5 6	Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that	2 3 4 5 6 7		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this case to the expert report that was submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports? Yes, except as they apply to Ohio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this case to the expert report that was submitted let me strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports? Yes, except as they apply to Ohio specifically. Otherwise, the conclusions were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this case to the expert report that was submitted let me strike that. Is the expert report that you submitted in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports? Yes, except as they apply to Ohio specifically. Otherwise, the conclusions were the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this case to the expert report that was submitted let me strike that. Is the expert report that you submitted in this case substantively the same as the expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports? Yes, except as they apply to Ohio specifically. Otherwise, the conclusions were the same. And so, when you say they apply to Ohio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this case to the expert report that was submitted let me strike that. Is the expert report that you submitted in this case substantively the same as the expert reports you have submitted in prior cases?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports? Yes, except as they apply to Ohio specifically. Otherwise, the conclusions were the same. And so, when you say they apply to Ohio specifically, you changed the plaintiffs to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 21 of the report. Just let me know when you're ready. Yes. In paragraph 21, you describe a study in North Carolina that analyzed the effect of a statute that took away funding that had previously been provided for abortion services in that state; is that fair? That's fair. Do you know whether the law that's being challenged in this case reduces or impacts the funding provided specifically for abortion services? As far as I know, it would not affect public funding for abortion services. You mentioned that you had done expert work in the past. What changes did you make in this case to the expert report that was submitted let me strike that. Is the expert report that you submitted in this case substantively the same as the expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. referred to a study in Texas that was not available at the time of the earlier reports. Aside from adding a reference to the study in Texas, did you make any other changes to your analysis or study in connection with the report that you submitted in this case? I went over I went over it and made some wording changes, minor wording changes. I can't tell you exactly what, but there were some changes from the earlier reports. Also, I think this report has a little less detail than some of the earlier reports that were done. And that was the decision of the attorneys. Are the conclusions that you reached in this case the same as the conclusions that you reached in the prior cases in which you submitted similar reports? Yes, except as they apply to Ohio specifically. Otherwise, the conclusions were the same. And so, when you say they apply to Ohio

		Page 38			Page 39
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	A	Yes. There were other changes.	2		would that be fair?
3	Q	What other changes?	3	Α	I think so.
4	Ā	Well, there are references to distances	4	Q	Were there any other substantive changes in
5		between cities in Ohio, where women would have	5		your analysis in the expert report?
6		to go if the Planned Parenthoods in Ohio	6		MS. PARKER: Objection. Asked and
7		stopped providing abortion services.	7		answered.
8	Q	And you're referring to the representations we	8	A	Would you like me too answer it?
9		discussed earlier that were given to you from	9		BY MS. RICHARDSON:
10		plaintiffs's counsel; correct?	10	Q	Yes, please go ahead and answer.
11	A	Correct.	11	À	No. No substantive changes to any
12	Q	And so, aside from adding in the	12		conclusions. I would draw any of the general
13		representations that counsel provided to you	13		conclusions.
14		and changing the names to the plaintiffs in	14		THE WITNESS: This says your Internet
15		this case, were there any other changes that	15		connection is unstable.
16		you made to the expert report that you	16		MS. PARKER: Yeah, I know. But I think
17		submitted in this case?	17		it's okay. If we're freezing up, let us know.
18		MS. PARKER: Mischaracterizes prior	18		Uh-oh. Did we freeze up?
19		testimony. Objection.	19		(BRIEF DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
20	A	As I said, we added a reference and we have	20		BY MS. RICHARDSON:
21		made some other minor other changes in the	21	Q	Dr. Henshaw, in paragraph 34, you summarize
22		report. I couldn't specify exactly what.	22		your conclusions and you state in summary, "It
23		BY MS. RICHARDSON:	23		is my opinion that the elimination of abortion
24	Q	And the minor changes, I believe, you said	24		services in PPGOH's and PPSWO's health centers
25		those would be sort of stylistic changes,	25		would prevent a substantial proportion of
		Page 40			Page 41
1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1		STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2		women who would have obtained an abortion at	2		MS. RICHARDSON: Dr. Henshaw, I have no
3		those health centers from being able to obtain	3		further questions at this time, depending on
4		abortions, and would cause many of the women	4		whether your counsel has any questions. Thank
5		ultimately able to access an abortion provider	5		you very much. I appreciate your time today.
6		to experience unwanted delay."	6		THE WITNESS: Well, thank you.
7		Did I read that correctly?	7	CR	OSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PARKER:
8	A	That is correct.	8	Q	I just have a couple of questions.
9	Q	And this conclusion is based on the assumption	9		Dr. Henshaw, as an expert in reproductive
10		that PPGOH and PPSWO would stop providing	10		epidemiology, why do you think it is
11		abortion services; correct?	11		appropriate to rely on studies from the three
12	A	Correct.	12		states, Texas, Washington and Georgia, to draw
13	Q	And obviously, if they continue to provide	13		conclusions about Ohio?
14		abortion services in the same manner that they	14	A	The basic issues we're looking at, which is
15		do now, the various impacts that you have	15		distance and cost, affects everyone. It's not
16		described would not occur; correct?	16		going to be different for women in Washington
	A	That is correct.	17		State or not that different for women in Ohio,
17		MS. RICHARDSON: If we could take just a	18		because money matters to all of them. It's
18			19		going to be a barrier. So in many ways, all
18 19		two-minute break, I think I actually may be			
18 19 20		finished.	20	_	parts of the country are fairly similar.
18 19 20 21		finished. MS. PARKER: Okay. Can we take a little	21	Q	Do you believe that the availability of
18 19 20 21 22		finished. MS. PARKER: Okay. Can we take a little longer than two minutes to make sure that we	21 22	Q	Do you believe that the availability of different forms of transportation in Ohio as
18 19 20 21 22 23		finished. MS. PARKER: Okay. Can we take a little longer than two minutes to make sure that we are okay on this end, too?	21 22 23	Q	Do you believe that the availability of different forms of transportation in Ohio as compared to the three states you in the
18 19 20 21 22		finished. MS. PARKER: Okay. Can we take a little longer than two minutes to make sure that we	21 22	Q A	Do you believe that the availability of different forms of transportation in Ohio as

	Page 42	Page 43
1	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.	1 STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
2	transportation is that different from one part	2 areas.
3	of the country to another part of the country	3 Q You said previously that you have submitted
4	enough anyway to affect this at all. I mean,	4 expert testimony in Alabama and Wisconsin; is
5	as I say, any estimates are not exactly	5 that correct?
6	accurate. You can't say, even from one year	6 A That is correct.
7	to the next, that you would have exactly the	7 Q And did you conduct specific studies in those
8	same effect of distance.	8 states in which you were accepted as an
9		•
	But in general, you can tell that there's	· · · · ·
10	a large effect, whether it's 20 percent or 25	10 A No.
11	percent or there's going to be variability	11 MS. PARKER: Thank you. I don't have
12	depending on local conditions and chance. But	12 anything further.
13	overall, there will be a substantial effect in	13 MS. RICHARDSON: No further questions.
14	my opinion anywhere in the country.	14 (WITNESS EXCUSED)
15	Q A substantial effect of what?	15 (WHEREUPON, THE DEPOSITION WAS CONCLUDED AT 10:50 A.M.)
16	A Of distance on abortion rates, on the ability	16
17	of women to terminate unwanted pregnancies.	17
18	Q Thank you. In the field of reproductive	18
19	epidemiology, what is the typical practice in	19
20	terms of relying on studies from a sample of	20
21	states or a group of states to draw	21
22	conclusions about other states?	22
23	A I would say that that is general practice. In	23
24	my cases, you would have studies in a	24
25	particular area that you then apply to other	25
	Page 44	Page 45
1	CTANLEY V HENCHAW DLD	1 STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D.
1 2	STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	COUNTY OF WAKE	3 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION
4	CERTIFICATE	4 5 PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF) Case No. 1:16-cv-539
5	I, Sarah A. Bowers, a Notary Public in and	GREATER OHIO, et al.,)
6	for the State of North Carolina duly commissioned	Plaintiffs,) Michael R. Barrett
7	and authorized to administer oaths and to take and	7
8	certify depositions, do hereby certify that on	8 vs.)
9	July 13, 2016, Stanley K. Henshaw, Ph.D., being by	9 RICHARD HODGES, et al.,)
10	me personally duly sworn to tell the truth,) 10 Defendants.)
11	thereupon testified as above set forth as found in	11
12	the preceding pages, this examination being	DEPOSITION OF STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. 12 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
13	reported by me verbatim and then reduced to	13
14	typewritten form under my direct supervision; that	14 I, Stanley K. Henshaw, Ph.D., hereby certify
15	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of	that I was first duly sworn prior to the commencement of my deposition, which was given
16	said proceedings to the best of my ability and	before Sarah A. Bowers, on July 13, 2016, in Raleigh, North Carolina, and that review,
17	understanding; that I am not related to any of the	examination and signing of the deposition was 17 reserved.
18	parties to this action; that I am not interested in	The foregoing constitutes a true and
19	the outcome of this case; that I am not of counsel	18 accurate transcript of said deposition, and (CIRCLE ONE):
20	nor in the employ of any of the parties to this	19 (a) no changes are necessary, OR
21	action.	(b) I desire that the changes attached 20 hereto on the errata sheet be incorporated into
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my	said deposition.
23	hand, this the 19th day of July, 2016.	
24		22 STANLEY K. HENSHAW, Ph.D. 23
	Sarah A. Bowers	Witness, my hand and seal, on this, the 24 day of, 2016,
25	Notary Public #	25

1				Page 4
	NAME O	E CASE:		
2		F DEPOSITI	ON:	
3		F WITNESS		
4	Reason C		•	
5		clarify the re	cord.	
6		conform to the		
7			cription errors.	
8			Reason	
9			to	
10	Page	Line	Reason	
11				
12	Page	Line	to Reason	
13	From		to	
14	Page	Line	Reason	
15			to	
16	Page	Line	Reason	
17			to	
18			Reason	
19			to	
20			Reason	
21			to	
22	Page	Line	Reason	
23			to	
24				
25				

	43:4	assist 9:13 10:6	18:8 19:11 22:2	centers 23:17 39:24
A 1.17 40:25	America 11:23	associated 15:20	25:9,25 27:9	40:3
a.m 1:17 40:25 43:15	12:2,17	association 26:9	38:24 41:21	certainly 31:17
ability 42:16 44:16	amount 30:15	assume 5:18 7:7	best 44:16	34:16
able 6:7 28:11,25	analyses 12:17	assuming 29:20	big 30:17	CERTIFICATE
40:3,5	14:12 16:3	assumption 40:9	bit 5:8 6:13 7:22	44:4 45:12
abortion 8:13 13:7	analysis 14:10	attached 6:23	15:2 20:6 26:18	certify 44:8,8 45:14
14:3,5 15:7,8,9,14	21:19 30:7 31:7	45:19	31:15	challenged 13:10
16:7,10,13,16,23	32:4,22 33:6 35:3	attention 7:2 25:19	black 33:23 34:7	13:14 19:6,14
17:3,12,18 22:8	37:6 39:5	27:13 36:2	blacks 34:5	21:16,20 22:12
22:14 24:6 25:3	analyzed 36:7	attorney 2:10 4:16	Bowers 1:14,23	23:25 36:13
26:9,10,12,25,25	answer 5:3,13,17	4:16	44:5,24 45:15	chance 42:12
28:18,19 29:9,11	6:7 16:12 39:8,10	attorneys 11:13,16	Brandon 2:14	change 20:25
36:9,14,17 38:7	answered 5:10,24	11:22 16:24 17:2	break 5:22 6:2	changed 37:24
39:23 40:2,5,11	32:17 39:7	19:10,12,18 20:3	40:19	changes 7:12 36:19
40:14 42:16	answers 5:2	20:4 21:12 23:6	BRIEF 39:19	37:5,9,9,11 38:2,3
abortions 13:4	Anya 2:14	23:19 34:21 37:15	Broad 2:11	38:15,21,24,25
15:17 18:14,25	anyway 42:4	attorneys's 24:11	broke 30:23	39:4,11 45:19,19
23:16 25:2 27:20	apart 21:16 35:12	audibly 5:3	built 30:24	changing 38:14
28:5,25 40:4	apologize 16:11	authorized 44:7	burden 27:18	characteristic
Absolutely 40:24	APPEARANCE	availability 26:10	<u>C</u>	33:21
accepted 43:8	2:2	26:25 41:21,25		characteristics
access 28:11 40:5	applicant 9:9	available 16:8,25	calculation 27:24	15:20
accuracy 24:19	applied 29:21 32:3	17:6 37:3	29:23 30:18	charge 22:24
accurate 8:24 42:6	applies 22:2	Avenue 1:15 2:6	calculations 31:24 California 8:5 9:23	check 16:6 25:10
45:18	apply 29:22,25	B	calling 20:21	checked 16:9,15
act 18:21 23:12	30:4 37:20,23	b 45:19	carning 20.21 careful 5:9,12	24:16
action 44:18,21	42:25	back 20:5	Carolina 1:16 36:7	choice 13:6
activity 15:10	appreciate 10:11 41:5	background 6:13	44:2,6 45:16	Cincinnati 16:6,18 17:22 34:22,23
actual 10:20	· -	ballpark 10:18	case 1:3 4:17 6:21	CIRCLE 45:18
add 20:25	appropriate 41:11 approve 8:19,20	Barrett 1:4 45:6	9:9 10:13,15,25	cited 26:22 28:2,10
added 38:20	approved 8:21	barrier 41:19	11:12,18 12:5,8	cities 16:7,13,16,19
adding 37:4 38:12	approximately	base 19:8 23:4,18	12:23,24,25 13:10	16:20 17:5,17,21
addition 36:25	24:17 28:6 29:25	24:9	13:14 17:24 18:7	17:23 18:15 24:22
additional 21:25	30:5	based 18:9 26:19	19:6,19 21:2,16	38:5
27:18 29:12 administer 44:7	area 14:6 18:2 29:7	28:2 30:18 31:2	22:7,12 23:25	city 35:4
affect 30:16 33:25	29:8 42:25	31:17 40:9	26:11 28:21 29:14	clarify 46:5
36:16 42:4	areas 15:8 29:10,11	basic 4:23 41:14	36:13,20,23 37:7	clarifying 19:17
affiliating 19:2	29:13 32:13,23	basically 33:16	37:17 38:15,17	classified 33:3
armating 17.2 ago 4:14	33:3 34:9,10 43:2	basing 29:23	44:19 45:5 46:1	clear 23:22 32:20
agree 32:7	arrive 27:24	basis 35:16	cases 12:14 13:20	Cleveland 18:2
agreed 1:13 12:22	aside 37:4 38:12	bearing 10:10	13:24 14:9 22:24	client 19:15
ahead 11:14 21:6	asked 5:15,17 12:7	becoming 11:11	36:24 37:18 42:24	clinics 24:6
24:13 39:10	12:9 32:17 39:6	beginning 25:22	catch 16:11	close 28:16
Akron 18:2	asking 4:24	behalf 1:12 2:4,9	cause 22:13 27:19	closer 25:12
	assignment 20:25	behavior 7:20	40:4	Codes 46:4
al 1:4,7/45:5.9	C			
al 1:4,7 45:5,9 Alabama 12:14	21:7,10	believe 16:2 17:21	cease 18:24	Columbus 2:11
	C	believe 16:2 17:21	cease 18:24	Columbus 2:11

16:7,18 17:7,22	consultant 7:17	cross 3:6 32:10	desire 45:19	19:17 37:3,11,13
25:11	contact 20:3	CROSS-EXAMI	detail 6:14 37:12	38:9
commencement	contacted 11:11,21	41:7	determine 9:12	easiest 15:5
45:15	12:4 19:18	cross-section 32:11	16:20 17:11 30:4	East 2:11
commencing 1:17	contained 25:15	current 7:4,5,16,23	35:3	economic 34:20
commissioned 44:6	CONTENTS 3:2	currently 7:17,25	differ 30:8,15	edits 9:14
common 30:11	continue 18:22	curtail 23:14	31:18	education 22:22
31:17 35:13,14	22:21 40:13	cut 13:2	difference 29:15	effect 22:16 23:12
compare 13:18	contraception 8:12	CV 6:24 7:3,4,13	differences 14:11	26:11 28:15 29:18
33:10 35:8	contrast 13:18		15:7 31:16	30:17 34:3,9 36:7
compared 29:4	33:10	D	different 12:21	42:8,10,13,15
41:23	control 7:19	date 7:7 10:21 46:2	13:23 15:8 29:3	effects 33:21
compares 35:15	copy 6:20,24 7:4,5	dated 10:21	31:21 32:14 34:13	either 20:24 22:13
comparing 15:11	corner 7:6	dates 10:16	41:16,17,22 42:2	23:13 24:16
comparisons 35:16	correct 6:18,19	day 44:23 45:24	differs 33:24	elimination 39:23
completely 6:7	10:7,8 12:6 14:24	Dayton 17:25	direct 3:5 7:2 14:18	employ 44:20
comprehensive	14:25 16:4,5 17:4	DC 2:6	25:19 27:13 36:2	entirely 23:17
17:17	17:20 18:7,8,16	decision 9:17 22:15	44:14	entity 18:22
concerned 33:18	19:3,7,14,20,21	37:14	directly 25:4,16	enumerated 18:23
concerning 7:19	20:2 21:21 22:9	decline 28:10,23	discuss 21:7	epidemiological
CONCLUDED	22:10 23:25 24:2	declined 29:7,10	discussed 38:9	25:24 26:6
43:15	24:7,8,17 25:5,6	declines 28:19	DISCUSSION	epidemiology
conclusion 40:9	25:17,18 30:5,6	defendant 4:18	39:19	14:24 15:3 41:10
conclusions 34:2	30:10,19,20,22	Defendants 1:8,12	distance 25:11	42:19
34:11 37:16,17,21	31:5,6,10,19	2:9 45:10	26:12 28:12,18,21	errata 45:20
39:12,13,22 41:13	34:17 35:17,24,25	delay 5:9 40:6	29:12 30:17 34:2	errors 46:7
42:22	37:25 38:10,11	demographic 25:23	41:15 42:8,16	Esq 2:5,10,14
conditions 42:12	40:8,11,12,16,17	26:6 33:7 35:18	distances 16:6,9,15	essentially 8:22
conduct 43:7	43:5,6 44:15 46:7	demographics	16:18 22:2 24:6	22:5,6 29:19
conducted 31:3	correctly 7:20	33:15 35:20,23	24:15,16,20,22	estimate 10:19
32:22	16:17 23:2 27:22	Department 4:19	38:4	27:17,25 29:17
conference 2:15,16	40:7	depending 28:20	distribution 19:13	31:2
confirm 18:9	cost 41:15	41:3 42:12	33:25	estimates 21:23
confirmed 16:24	counsel 2:2 12:4,20	DEPONENT 45:12	DISTRICT 1:1,1	22:6 42:5
28:7	17:15,23 18:6,13	deposed 4:21	45:1,3	et 1:4,7 45:5,9
confirms 29:2	19:23 20:24 21:14	deposition 1:11	DIVISION 1:2	ethnicity 28:20
conform 46:6	25:5,17 38:10,13	20:13 43:15 45:11	45:3	33:18,20 34:4
confused 9:25	41:4 44:19	45:15,16,18,20	doing 29:20 35:18	exactly 31:23 32:19
connection 10:13	counties 29:5,5	46:2	doubled 28:18	37:10 38:22 42:5
10:15 12:8 18:6	countries 15:12	depositions 44:8	Dr 4:12,20 39:21	42:7
25:8 37:6 39:15	country 30:13	describe 7:22 15:5	41:2,9	examination 3:5
consider 26:5	31:15 32:11 41:20	24:5 25:25 27:8	draw 39:12 41:12	4:11 44:12 45:16
35:13	42:3,3,14	36:6	42:21	examined 4:6
considered 32:24	COUNTY 44:3	described 13:23	duly 4:5 44:6,10	EXCUSED 43:14
Considering 27:16	couple 41:8	15:23 21:17 23:8	45:14	Exhibit 3:9 4:9
consists 15:3	course 31:14	35:12 40:16		6:17 14:20
constitutes 45:17	court 1:1 4:24 45:1	describing 15:19	<u>E</u>	EXHIBITS 3:7
consult 10:3 14:22	creating 9:3,5 21:9	Description 3:8	earlier 10:17 19:12	expect 5:21

expected 12:11	first 4:5 10:20	14:3	Hispanic 33:22	investigations
experience 16:23	14:18 23:19 28:9	go 6:14 11:14 21:6	Hispanics 34:4	18:10 31:13
30:14 35:18 40:6	45:14	24:13 25:24 38:6	HODGES 1:7 45:9	involve 12:24
expert 3:9 6:20,23	focus 7:24 26:14	39:10	hospital 14:6	involved 11:11
10:14 12:5,9	27:5,10 35:24	goes 22:16 23:12	huge 30:15	12:5,23 13:19
13:21,24 14:13,16	focused 15:24	going 5:6 14:16,17		issues 41:14
18:18 19:19 21:9	follow 22:4	41:16,19 42:11	I	items 14:17
34:15 36:18,20,22	follows 4:7	good 4:12,13 9:7	ideas 9:6	
36:23 38:16 39:5	forced 23:13	10:16 32:11	IDENTIFICATI	J
41:9 43:4,9	foregoing 44:15	government 18:23	4:10	January 7:9,11
explain 19:4	45:17	22:22	impact 14:11 21:20	11:3
explained 12:25	form 44:14	grant 8:23 18:24	21:24,24 22:6	Jennifer 2:14
extensive 6:14	forms 41:22	Greater 1:4 11:19	28:14 34:16	Job 1:24
extra 28:6	forth 44:11	19:24 20:7 22:19	impacts 36:13	Judge 1:4 45:6
extrapolated 32:2	found 28:4,10,17	45:5	40:15	July 1:16 44:9,23
	29:5 33:20 44:11	ground 4:23	important 5:2,5,7	45:15
F	Francisco 8:5 9:23	group 42:21	15:18	June 10:22,23
face 5:4 13:22	free 10:18	groups 34:3	improved 9:7	
facilities 16:10,16	freeze 39:18		improvements 8:19	K
16:19,21 17:3,7,8	freezing 39:17	H	include 13:4 26:4	K 1:11 2:1 3:1,4 4:1
17:11,16,18,25	front 6:16 7:3	hand 44:23 45:23	26:21	4:4 5:1 6:1 7:1
18:5,14	fund 8:23 9:18	happy 5:16 30:25	included 7:13	8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
facility 17:25 25:12	funded 8:20	health 4:19 22:21	17:23 18:5	12:1 13:1 14:1
fact 30:4	funding 9:13,19	23:17 39:24 40:3	income 34:16,20,24	15:1 16:1 17:1
factors 15:13 30:16	10:4,6 36:8,14,17	heard 20:18	35:4,9	18:1 19:1 20:1
33:9	funds 18:23 22:23	help 9:2 14:21 15:2	incorporated 45:20	21:1 22:1 23:1
facts 46:6	further 20:3 41:3	Henshaw 1:11 2:1	increased 28:12	24:1 25:1 26:1
fair 5:19 13:16 22:5	43:12,13	3:1,4 4:1,4,9,12	independent 17:10	27:1 28:1 29:1
26:2 29:24 32:6		4:20 5:1 6:1,17	23:7 35:2	30:1 31:1 32:1
32:16 33:11 35:5	G	7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	independently	33:1 34:1 35:1
35:6,10 36:10,11	Gates 1:14	11:1 12:1 13:1	18:11 23:23	36:1 37:1 38:1
39:2	general 10:19	14:1 15:1 16:1	INDEX 3:7	39:1 40:1 41:1
fairly 41:20	27:18 31:21 33:14		indicated 34:15	42:1 43:1 44:1,9
familiar 24:21 27:2	39:12 42:9,23	20:1 21:1 22:1	individual 18:10	45:1,11,14,22
30:12	General's 2:10	23:1 24:1 25:1	influence 15:14	K&L 1:14
Family 8:3 9:24	4:17	26:1 27:1 28:1	information 18:8	Kimberly 2:5
far 36:16	generally 14:20	29:1 30:1 31:1	18:12 21:15 23:5	kind 21:8 22:5 30:3
February 11:3	24:4	32:1 33:1 34:1	33:7	kinds 9:8 15:15,19
Federation 11:23	Georgia 27:9 28:4	35:1 36:1 37:1	informed 34:21	knew 10:25 12:10
12:2,16	29:21 30:9,21	38:1 39:1,21 40:1	interested 44:18	know 4:20,23 5:16
feel 10:18	31:4 32:2,10	41:1,2,9 42:1 43:1	Internet 39:14	5:23 6:13 11:16
fertility 7:19	33:11 35:10,15	44:1,9 45:1,11,14	interpretation	17:6,7 18:2,4
field 42:18	41:12	45:22	23:22	22:11,15 24:15,17
final 9:17	gestures 5:4	hereto 44:21 45:20	interpreted 23:24	30:13,23 31:11
financial 13:7	give 5:13 10:18	high 26:23	interstate 30:13	32:15,19 33:9
fine 5:20 6:3 20:10	given 38:9 45:15	higher 15:21 34:6	investigate 25:13	34:2,8,24 35:7,19
finish 5:12	gives 14:2	highways 30:14	investigated 35:11	36:3,12,16 39:16
finished 40:20	giving 13:6,7 14:3	Hills 1:15	investigation 35:22	39:17 knowledge 26:15
	l	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

27:4 30:11 33:14	41:18	32:23 33:2	overall 42:13	4:1,4 5:1 6:1 7:1
35:13,14	mean 12:13 30:11	numbers 28:3,8		8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
known 16:22	31:11 42:4	29:20 35:7	P	12:1 13:1 14:1
knows 31:14	means 7:23	27.20 33.1	Page 3:5,8 14:19	15:1 16:1 17:1
KHOWS 31.14	Medicaid 13:2	0	26:19 46:8,10,12	18:1 19:1 20:1
$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	medications 6:5	oaths 44:7	46:14,16,18,20,22	21:1 22:1 23:1
large 42:10	mentioned 17:21	Objection 32:18	pages 44:12	24:1 25:1 26:1
Lassiter 1:15	19:11 36:18	38:19 39:6	paper 15:18	27:1 28:1 29:1
law 19:5,9,13 21:15	met 4:14	obtain 27:21 28:25	paragraph 14:19	30:1 31:1 32:1
21:20 22:11,16	Michael 1:4 45:6	40:3	18:18,20 19:4	33:1 34:1 35:1
36:12	miles 27:19 28:7,12	obtained 27:20	21:17 22:17 23:8	36:1 37:1 38:1
led 24:19	28:13,14,15,16,22	40:2	23:11 25:20,23	39:1 40:1 41:1
legislation 27:8	28:22 29:14,15,17	obviously 28:13	26:18 27:14,16	42:1 43:1 44:1,9
Line 46:8,10,12,14	minor 37:9 38:21	40:13	31:2 36:2,6 39:21	45:1,11,14,22
46:16,18,20,22	38:24	occur 40:16	paragraphs 24:4	Pittsburgh 25:11
list 17:2,16,18,23	minutes 40:22	office 2:10 4:17	24:10 25:8,16	plaintiff 14:2
18:5	Mischaracterizes	Oh 2:11 30:25	27:7,11	plaintiffs 1:4 2:4
literature 25:24	38:18	Ohio 1:1,4 2:10	Parenthood 1:3	11:17 22:7,18
26:2,6,8,13	moment 4:14,22	4:16,18 11:19,20	11:13,18,19,22	37:24 38:14 45:6
litigation 12:18	money 41:18	12:25 13:8 15:24	12:2,16 13:5,5	plaintiffs's 25:4,17
16:4	month 10:19,23	17:4,13,19 18:22	17:9 19:24,25	38:10
little 5:8 6:12 7:22	months 11:9	19:16,24,25 20:7	20:7,11 22:19,20	Planned 1:3 11:13
14:17 15:2 20:2,6	morning 4:12,13	20:12 22:3,19,20	34:22 45:5	11:18,19,22,25
26:18 30:24 37:12	movement 20:5	24:6,14,23 25:4	Parenthoods 19:16	12:16 13:5,5 17:8
40:21		26:14 27:5 29:23	38:6	19:16,23,24 20:6
LLP 1:14	N	30:5,8 32:13,23	Parker 2:5 3:6	20:11 22:19,19
local 14:6 42:12	name 4:15 46:1,3	33:3,7,24 34:6,11	20:17,20 32:17	34:22 38:6 45:5
location 17:11	names 17:6 38:14	34:20,25 35:4,14	38:18 39:6,16	Planning 8:4 9:24
18:13	near 17:12	35:24 37:20,23	40:21 41:7 43:11	please 18:18 27:14
long 5:21 10:25	necessary 45:19	38:5,6 41:13,17	part 16:22 42:2,3	39:10
longer 40:22	need 5:22 32:3,19	41:22 45:3,5	particular 13:18	point 5:14,22 13:9
look 18:17 26:24	New 31:19	okay 9:25 20:22	17:17 42:25	13:12 19:22
looked 24:14 27:3	nodding 5:4	39:17 40:21,23	particularly 5:7	position 7:16
33:19	non-abortion 22:21	Olsen 2:14	parties 44:18,20	PP 20:14,16
looking 41:14	non-Hispanic	opinion 39:23	parts 41:20	PPFA 11:24 12:4
looks 7:6	33:22	41:24 42:14	patients 22:25	19:12,18 20:3
lot 9:5	nonprofit 7:18 8:2	opinions 14:12	34:21	21:12
low 34:23 35:4,9	8:6	opt 15:16	pending 5:25	PPGOH 20:7,24
lower 15:21 34:6	nontherapeutic	option 14:2	Pennsylvania 2:6	21:14 23:13 25:2
	18:25 23:16	organization 13:3	people 11:21 35:21	37:25 40:10
<u>M</u>	North 1:15,16 36:6	20:9	percent 27:19 28:5	PPGOH's 39:24
making 35:16	44:2,6 45:16	organizations 7:18	28:10,16,19,24	PPSWO 20:12,15
manner 40:14	Northwest 2:6	8:3,7,17,21 9:10	29:10,15,18 42:10	20:17,21,24 21:14
map 24:14	Notary 1:14 44:5	9:13,17,19,20,21	42:11	23:13 25:2 37:25
marked 3:8 4:10	44:25	10:2,3 22:13,15	percentage 35:3,8	40:10
6:17 14:19	number 3:5,8 4:9	originally 21:11	period 29:6,9	PPSWO's 39:24
material 30:9 32:5	18:13 24:25 28:10	outcome 44:19	personally 44:10	practice 42:19,23
matter 34:10	28:24 29:3,6,7	outside 8:18	Ph.D 1:11 2:1 3:1,4	preceding 44:12
matters 14:23				
			077 700 0500	

predominantly	18:21	recommendations	25:15 38:8,13	
34:23	providing 18:25	9:16	representative 31:9	
pregnancies 15:16	22:8,14 23:16	record 4:15 19:11	31:12 32:5	sample 42:20
42:17	38:7 40:10	39:19 46:5	representing 4:18	San 8:5 9:23
pregnancy 15:9,19	provision 15:14	reduced 44:13	reproductive 14:23	Sarah 1:13,23 44:5
15:21	provisions 14:8	reduces 36:13	15:3 41:9 42:18	44:24 45:15
preparing 9:14	public 1:14 36:16	refer 6:15 11:24	required 22:24	says 7:7,16 18:21
present 2:14	44:5,25	14:15 20:6,12	requiring 14:5	39:14
presumption 29:24	purpose 19:4 31:13	24:20 25:22	research 7:18 8:2	seal 45:23
pretty 30:12 32:10	purposes 20:13	reference 5:3 37:4	8:10,12,15,23 9:3	season 10:19 second 18:20 23:20
prevent 39:25	26:7	38:20	9:8 10:5 17:10	Section 21:21
previous 13:20	pursuant 1:12	references 38:4	23:7 26:20,21	see 25:11
35:23	putting 29:16	referred 37:2	27:8 35:2	send 8:17
previously 23:15		referring 20:8	reserved 45:17	sense 13:17 31:17
36:8 43:3	Q	24:21 38:8	respect 8:15 23:8	sentence 18:20
primarily 8:13	qualify 35:9	reflected 14:12	26:17 32:14	23:11
24:11	quality 26:23	24:9	respective 24:22	served 13:20 34:22
prior 36:24 37:18	question 5:10,11,13	Region 22:20	respects 30:9 31:19	service 28:18
38:18 45:14	5:15,17,25 21:3	related 14:23 27:8	31:20,21 32:6	services 7:19 8:13
privileges 14:6	21:23 23:21 24:19	33:7 44:17	33:16 34:14	14:3,7 15:14 16:8
probably 34:13	26:17	relation 26:25	responding 9:14	16:14,23,25 17:3
35:19	questions 4:24,25	relationship 27:3	result 31:25	17:5,12 22:8,14
proceedings 44:16	6:7,10 41:3,4,8	relevant 25:23 26:5	results 27:17 32:12	22:22,25 23:14
process 9:2	43:13	26:20,21,22 32:5	retained 10:14 11:4	25:3 26:10 28:11
produce 12:9	quite 27:2 31:15	32:14	review 8:11 9:22	36:9,15,17 38:7
professional 7:24	quoted 33:17	rely 41:11	13:9 26:20 31:3	39:24 40:11,14
7:25		relying 42:20	45:16	set 44:11,21
programs 18:24	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$	remember 11:6,15	reviewed 13:13,15	sexual 15:10
23:15	R 1:4 45:6	remembering	23:23 25:7	sheet 45:20
promotes 13:4	race 28:20 33:18,20	10:16	reviewers 8:18 9:5	shorten 20:5
promoting 18:24	racial 33:24 34:3	remind 4:22	9:11,15,16 10:6	signing 45:16
pronounced 20:19	Raleigh 1:15 45:16	rephrase 5:16	reviewing 7:25	similar 8:22 12:14
proportion 34:7	rate 28:19 29:9,11	30:25	10:4	26:24 32:9,12
39:25	rates 15:7,8,9,9,18	report 3:9 6:20,23	RICHARD 1:7	33:16 37:19 41:20
proposal 8:20 9:6,7	15:21 26:9,12	10:21,22 12:10	45:9	similarities 31:16
proposals 8:2,10,15	27:2 42:16	14:13,16 18:18	Richardson 2:10	Simon 2:15
8:18,23 9:3 10:5	reached 37:16,18 read 7:20 23:2	21:9,23 22:6 26:7	3:5 4:11,15 20:23	sitting 13:12,17
provide 10:4 17:3	27:22 40:7	31:14 34:16 35:22	32:21 38:23 39:9	slightly 29:3
17:12 18:14 21:14	ready 36:4	36:3,20,22 37:7	39:20 40:18,24	Society 8:3 9:23
22:21 40:13	ready 30:4 reason 6:6 46:4,8	37:12 38:16,22	41:2 43:13	sorry 11:14,15 16:9
provided 14:7 17:2	46:10,12,14,16,18	39:5	Right 31:23	20:14 24:13 26:4
17:16,24 18:12	46:20,22	reported 1:23	role 8:8,14	30:21,25
23:5,15 25:2 36:9	reasonable 29:17	44:13	roughly 32:12	sort 24:3 38:25
36:14 38:13	recall 11:7 20:2	reporter 4:25	routinely 8:7	SOUTHERN 1:1
provider 40:5	28:13	reports 36:24 37:3	rules 4:23	45:3
providers 14:5	received 22:23	37:11,13,19	rural 29:4,7,10,13	Southwest 11:20
29:6,8	receiving 18:22	represent 32:10	32:24 34:10 P = 2.10 4.15	19:25 20:11 22:20
provides 13:3	RECESS 40:25	representations	Ryan 2:10 4:15	speak 5:3,5
	1110100 70.23			

special 31:12 specific 12:17 16:3	33:15 34:12,14 35:17,20 41:12,23	summary 26:2 39:22	36:25 37:12 39:3 39:16 40:19 41:10	ultimately 9:12 40:5
21:19 28:3 31:24	42:21,21,22 43:8	supervision 44:14	41:25	understand 5:15
33:6 35:21 43:7	45:1	support 13:3,8 14:4	thought 19:15	6:16 10:2 11:25
specifically 8:14	status 34:20	sure 5:9 21:3,4	three 30:19 31:3,8	12:23 14:21 15:2
10:12 12:7 15:24	statute 13:2,10,13	40:22	32:12 33:19 41:11	16:17 20:8 21:3
18:21,23 19:23	13:15,18 14:2	surrounding 24:7	41:23	21:22 22:18 24:15
26:11,14 27:5	23:21,22,24 36:7	SWO 20:17	time 5:6,14,23	29:19 31:23
31:7 33:20 34:24	statutes 13:19,23	sworn 4:5 44:10	12:22 13:9,13	understanding
35:8,11,24 36:14	14:4,9,11	45:14	14:17 19:22 37:3	17:15 19:3,5,8
37:21,24	stayed 29:8		41:3,5	23:4 24:5 44:17
specify 38:22	step 20:5	T	times 4:21	understandings
spend 14:16	Stephanie 2:15	take 4:21 5:25	today 4:17,21,24	24:9
spoke 21:11	stipulations 1:13	18:17 40:18,21	5:7,22 6:6,15	understood 5:18
Stanley 1:11 2:1	stop 22:8,13 23:16	44:7	11:24 13:12,17	21:11
3:1,4 4:1,4 5:1	40:10	taken 1:12	20:6 41:5	unintended 15:16
6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	stopped 38:7	talk 10:11	today's 20:13	15:19,21
10:1 11:1 12:1	Street 2:11	talking 6:12 11:25	told 16:24 19:10	unique 12:18
13:1 14:1 15:1	strike 36:21	19:18	23:19,20,21	unit 8:4 9:22
16:1 17:1 18:1	studied 25:8	teenage 15:9	Toledo 18:2	United 1:1 31:10
19:1 20:1 21:1	studies 12:18 16:3	teenagers 15:10	top 26:18	45:1
22:1 23:1 24:1	26:22,24 27:3,4	Teleconference 2:9	transcript 44:15	University 8:5 9:22
25:1 26:1 27:1	27:17 28:2,7	tell 37:10 42:9	45:18	unstable 39:15
28:1 29:1 30:1	29:21 31:3,25	44:10	transcription 46:7	unwanted 40:6
31:1 32:1 33:1	33:17,19 34:9	ten-year 29:6	transportation	42:17
34:1 35:1 36:1	35:19,23 41:11,24	terminate 42:17	30:16 41:22 42:2	updated 7:8,9
37:1 38:1 39:1	42:20,24 43:7	terms 30:15 42:20	travel 21:25 27:18	updates 7:12
40:1 41:1 42:1	study 26:8 28:2,4,9	testified 4:6 16:2	28:6 29:12,13	urban 29:5,8,11
43:1 44:1,9 45:1	28:9,17,23 29:2,4	44:11	trends 15:8	33:4 34:8,9,12,12
45:11,14,22	29:4 30:3 32:16	testimony 38:19	tries 26:8	
started 6:10	32:19,20 33:2	43:4	true 44:15 45:17	V
state 13:2,8 14:4	34:19 36:6 37:2,4	Texas 27:9 28:9,17	truth 44:10	variability 42:11
15:24 17:4,18	37:6	29:21 30:10,21	truthfully 6:8	various 7:18 24:5
22:18 26:14 27:5	stylistic 38:25	31:4,18 32:2,10	turned 34:11	33:15 35:20 40:15
27:16 29:23 30:8	submit 8:16 9:4	33:10 35:10,15	two 8:17 9:21 10:2	verbatim 44:13
30:12,14 31:9,18	submitted 6:21	37:2,5 41:12	10:23 11:17,17	verified 18:12
31:19 36:10 39:22	10:5 36:20,22,24	thank 6:4 10:9,10	17:8 22:7 33:19	video 2:15,16 4:14
41:17 44:2,6	37:7,19 38:17	19:17 20:18 26:13	40:22	5:8
statement 21:8	43:3	41:4,6 42:18	two-minute 40:19	visual 5:4
23:18 24:11 26:17	substantial 39:25	43:11	type 30:7 32:4,22	vs 1:6 45:8
31:22	42:13,15	theretofore 22:23	33:2 34:19	
statements 24:18	substantive 39:4,11	thing 16:5 25:10	types 8:10	W
24:25 25:3,14	substantively 36:23	things 11:7 20:5	typewritten 44:14	wait 5:10,12,24
states 1:1 14:9,22	suggest 8:19	think 9:25,25 10:25	typical 42:19	WAKE 44:3
24:7 26:2 27:10	suggestions 9:8	11:13 14:7 15:5		wanted 31:23
27:12 30:9,15,19	Suite 1:15	17:6 21:18,22	U	Washington 2:6
31:4,8,10,16 32:3	summarize 22:5	26:23 28:15 29:16	U.S 15:11	27:9 29:2,22
32:4,9,11,15	39:21	30:23 31:14 35:12	Uh-oh 39:18	30:10,21 31:4,25
				32:9 33:10 35:10
	1	1	1	

35:15 41:12,16		43215 2:11		
way 12:21 20:19	0	4350 1:14		
29:22 30:2,5	1	4 330 1.14		
ways 30:8 41:19	1 3:9 4:9 6:18 14:19	5		
we're 5:7 11:25	14:19,20 46:5	5 24:4 26:19		
14:16 20:8 39:17	14.19,20 40.3 1/167:7	50 28:21		
41:14	1:16-cv-539 1:3			
went 28:21 37:8,8	45:5	6		
WESTERN 1:2	10 25:20 29:10,15	6 24:4		
45:3	10:19-10:46 40:25	69 28:10,16		
WHEREOF 44:21	10:50 43:15			
white 33:21,22 34:7	100 27:18 28:7,14	7		
whites 34:5	28:16,22 29:17	7 24:4		
WilmerHale 2:5	110133 1:24	8		
Wisconsin 12:15	12 29:13,14			
43:4	13 44:9 45:15	8 24:4 25:9		
Witness 3:4 20:18	13th 1:16	9		
20:22 39:14 41:6	17 27:14,16 31:2	9:29 1:17		
43:14 44:21 45:23	1875 2:6	7.27 1.17		
46:3	19th 44:23			
women 15:15 21:25				
27:19 28:5,11,24	2			
29:12 33:22,22,23	2 18:18 19:4 21:17			
33:23 34:7,7,8,20	46:6			
35:3,9 38:5 40:2,4	20 27:19 28:19,23			
41:16,17 42:17	29:18 42:10			
women's 28:20	2000 7:16			
wording 37:9,9	20006 2:6			
words 18:11	2016 1:16 44:9,23			
work 7:25 8:7	45:15,24			
10:12,20,24 12:14				
13:7 15:6,6,11,13	21st 10:22,23			
15:22,23 21:8	24 28:4			
36:18	25 27:19 28:5,13			
working 16:23	29:18 42:10			
19:23	250 28:12,15			
wouldn't 6:6 28:15	29 28:19,24			
34:10	3			
X	3 22:17 23:9,11			
	46:7			
Y	30 2:11 28:13			
Yeah 39:16	300 1:15			
year 7:9,11 10:17	34 39:21			
42:6	3701.034 21:21			
York 31:19				
	4			
Z	4 3:5,9 24:4 25:9			
	41 3:6			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		